### Member's Representation

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I want to draw your attention to Rule 309. If you will kindly permit to make a motion, I would like to move a motion under that Rule.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I cannot permit any motion unless before coming to the House I am told what it is.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—This is about yesterday's incident.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If there is any such motion, notice has to be given and it has to be included in the Agenda. It is not a thing which can be taken up like that.

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—Mr. Speaker, I have sent a motion under Rule 309.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That will be dealt with in due course.

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—I want to know whether the Hon'ble Speaker is seized of it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have not seen it.

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—As per rule 309, the Assembly may at any time on a motion being made resolve that such suspension be terminated.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is after it is properly initiated and not before that.

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—I have sent a motion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It will receive due attention in due course. Now that you have mentioned it, I will look into it.

---

## MYSORE AGRICULTURAL INCOME TAX (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1962.

*Motion to consider.*

Sri S. R. KANTHI (Chief Minister)—I beg to move:

"That the Mysore Agricultural Income Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1962 be taken into consideration."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

"That the Mysore Agricultural Income Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1962 be taken into consideration."

‡Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Sir, this is a very simple measure. It has been brought here for a very limited purpose. Sir, in clause (2) it is attempted to define the territories that are included in the Mysore State. In the original Mysore Agricultural Income Tax Act which was passed in 1957, the territories of the Mysore State have not been defined. An attempt is being made to define what is 'territory of Mysore State'. Clause 3 prescribes limitations and how the limitations should be computed. Clause (4) also makes certain exemptions so far as limitation is concerned. Clause(5) is in respect of the members of a joint Hindu family. After the word 'at least five members' in the Schedule, we are trying to add 'who are not less than eighteen years of age'. So, Sir, this is not in any way a controversial measure and as such I recommend the consideration of the Bill by the House. This is almost on the same lines as the amending Bill which was brought before the House yesterday, but it has no controversial provisions. That is why I hope the Hon'ble Members on the other side will pass this measure without much debate.

[Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair].

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ (ಶ್ರವಣಬೆಳಗೊಳ).—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೆ, ಈಗ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ನಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಮಂಡಿಸಿರುವ ಮೈಸೂರು ಅಗ್ರಿಕಲ್ಚರಲ್ ಇನ್‌ಕಂಟ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಸೂದೆಯನ್ನು ನಾನು ಬಹಳ ತೀವ್ರವಾಗಿ ವಿರೋಧಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಹಿಂದೆ ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ಮಸೂದೆ ಬಂದಾಗಲೂ ನಾವು ವ್ಯವಸಾಯದ ಉತ್ಪತಿಯಮೇಲೆ ತೆರಿಗೆ ಹಾಕುವ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇನೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಈ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯಗಾರನೊಬ್ಬನಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಎರಡು ರೀತಿಯ ತೆರಿಗೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಒಂದು ಭೂಕಂದಾಯ; ಎಲ್ಲಾ ರೈತರೂ ಕೂಡ ಅವರು ವ್ಯವಸಾಯ ಮಾಡುವ ಭೂಮಿಗೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದೊಂದು ತೆರಿಗೆ. ಅದೇ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನು ಅವಲಂಬಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ ಆದಾಯ ತೆರಿಗೆ ಕೂಡ ವಿಧಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದು ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರೀಯವಾಗಿ ತಪ್ಪು. ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ತಾವು ಆದಾಯ ತೆರಿಗೆ ಹಾಕಿ ಭೂಕಂದಾಯ ವಜಾಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅದೊಂದು ರೀತಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂಲಭೂತವಾದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇರುವುದು ಅಗ್ರಿಕಲ್ಚರಲ್ ಇಕಂಟ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್ ಹಾಕಬೇಕೇ ಆಥವಾ ಹಾಕಬಾರದೆ, ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ ಅಗ್ರಿಕಲ್‌ಚರಲ್ ಇಕಂಟ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್ ಹಾಕುವುದಾದರೆ ಯಾರಿಗೆ ಹಾಕಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವುದು. ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಾರದು ಎನ್ನುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The principle was accepted when the Act was passed. So, I do not think we can go back upon that.